

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION 10/601,053 06/20/2003 Luigi Cicinnati 2429.19US01 5531 24113 05/14/2004 **EXAMINER** PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A. HARTMANN, GARY S **4800 IDS CENTER 80 SOUTH 8TH STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100

3671 DATE MAILED: 05/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
,	Office Action Summary	10/601,053	CICINNATI, LUIGI	
	onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	The MAILING DATE of the	Gary Hartmann	3671	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
	Status		•	
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
		-· action is non-final.		
ļ	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
	Disposition of Claims			
	4) Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected.			
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
ĺ	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
	Application Papers			
1	9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☑ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
l	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
	AM			
- 1	Attachment(s)			
	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview	v Summary (PTO-413)	
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice o	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
	S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Actic	on Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 05102004	

Application/Control Number: 10/601,053

Art Unit: 3671

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

1. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is a run-on type sentence. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. For example, the phrase "characterized by comprising" (lines 1-2) is redundant; the phrase "as of the end" (line 8) is unclear; and the term "its" should not be used due to the equivocal nature of the term.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/601,053

Art Unit: 3671

Page 3

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 5. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Woerthwein et al. (EP 1 186 714 A2). Wörthwein et al. discloses a guardrail terminal having vertical supporting members (4a-4g) with a horizontal retaining member (1a) fixed thereto. There is a hortizontal curled section (1) projecting from the terminal end of the retaining member in the manner claimed (Figure 1, for example).
- 6. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Denman et al. (U.S. Patent 6,142,452). Denman et al. discloses a guardrail terminal having vertical supporting members (20, 22) with a horizontal retaining member (Figure 1) fixed thereto. There is a curled section (24) projecting from the terminal end of the retaining member in the manner claimed (Figure 1).
- 7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ivey (U.S. Patent 5,791,812). Ivey discloses a guardrail terminal having vertical supporting members (17) with a horizontal retaining member (Figure 1, for example) fixed thereto. There is a curled section (14) projecting from the terminal end of the retaining member in the manner claimed (Figure 1, for example).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3671

9. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any of Wörthwein et al., Denman et al. or Ivey as applied above, and further in view of Gertz (U.S. Patent 6,024,341). Wörthwein et al., Denman et al. and Ivey do not teach the corrugated construction; however, it is well known that the corrugated structure increases structural rigidity. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a corrugated construction with any of Wörthwein et al., Denman et al. or Ivey in order to obtain a structure more rigid for a particular application, in accordance with the teaching of Gertz.

### Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 5-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

- 11. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gary Hartmann whose telephone number is 703-305-4549. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 9am-6pm.

Art Unit: 3671

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Will can be reached on 703-308-3870. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gary Hartmann Primary Examiner Art Unit 3671